Lessons Learned from the Implementation of a Walking School Bus Program in Urban Maine Rebecca Drewette-Card¹, Troy Fullmer², Michelle Mitchell³, Alireza Geshnizjani⁴ ¹Public Health Partners, LLC, ²Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention, ³Partnerships For Health, LLC, ⁴University of Maine at Farmington

INTRODUCTION

- This project was funded by the US CDC State Public Health Actions 1305 Cooperative Agreement¹.
- Maine's state health department partnered with a community agency (Bicycle Coalition of Maine – BCM) and a local school to implement a Walking School Bus (WSB) pilot in an urban Maine town.
- A WSB is a group of students walking to school under the supervision of one or more adults. It is a structured route with meeting points similar to a regular school bus. For the pilot, the WSB was only offered in the morning.
- The goal of the WSB program was to increase the number of youth engaged in safe, structured physical activity who reach the goal of 60 minutes of daily physical activity.
- During the 2014-2015 academic year, the pilot school was provided:
 - Funding to hire a school-based WSB coordinator
 - Technical assistance (e.g. site visits, conference calls)
 - Incentives for student participation (e.g. stickers)
- The majority of students at the school were from immigrant / refugee families; received free / reduced school lunch; and tended to be highly transient between schools.

EVALUATION QUESTIONS

- What were the key activities and/or resources critical to the success of the WSB?
- What were the major facilitators and barriers in adopting the WSB and how were the barriers overcome?
- What was the impact of the WSB on participating students and their parents?

METHODS

- The stakeholder-led evaluation design targeted multiple audiences and stakeholders including: WSB coordinator, BCM consultant, school administrators, participating youth, and parents of participating youth.
- A mixed-methods evaluation design was used to gather, analyze, and triangulate the data post-implementation of the WSB.
- Data collection methods included: interviews, focus groups, and school-based data (e.g. attendance, tardiness, academic achievement).

Key Activities and Resources to Successful Implementation

	•
Sidewalks	Sidewalks
	resources
Volunteers	Voluntee
	were bot
Support	BCM and
	"invaluab
WSB	Personal
Coordinator	be organi
Outreach and	Recruiting
Communication	Implemer
	Setting e>
	School Bu
	want to s
	lines with

Awareness

Knowledge and

Students and parents learned of the WSB from other families or by seeing other students participating.

Attitudes	day how
	transition
	environn
	feeling re
Absenteeism	• WSB
and Tardiness	lower
	• WSB
	the so
Social and	Partic
Community	mostl
Integration	comn
	• Paren
	орро
	immi
Knowledge of	Participa
the Physical	way to so
Environment	"I love he
	their con
	same nei
	than driv

RESULTS

s were identified as one of the most basic s required for the WSB program.

ers, and the ability to mobilize them quickly, th vital resources.

school administration support was ole".

characteristics for success were the ability to nized, flexible, and enthusiastic.

ng participants: "Good outreach is critical." nter

expectations: "Every kid that joins the Walking us gives me a contract. Because basically I just spell everything out so that there's no blurry h parents."—Implementer

Recruitment

Confusion

Parents thought "Walking School Bus" referred to the regular yellow school bus.

Student Impact

"The kids really, really love walking and I hear every much different it is for them to experience ning to a school day in a kind of safe, quiet nent versus being sardined on a bus and really anxious . . ."-- Implementer

participants had a statistically significant r absentee rate than the school average. participants had a lower tardiness rate than school average.

cipation in the WSB helped new students ly immigrants—with integrating into the nunity.

nts liked that the WSB gave their children an ortunity to socialize with American and other igrant children.

ants were not scared of getting lost on the school when they took the WSB.

learing the things they start to notice about mmunities when they are walking through the eighborhood every day; it's much different ving through it." — Implementer

Facilitators and Barriers

raciiitators	and Damers
Facilitators	Barriers
Community Support The community provided volunteers and resources (e.g. incentives) for WSB implementation.	Language Differences English was not the first many of the families. All materials were produced and distributed with tele numbers for translators, school practice.
School Support School leadership, faculty, and staff awareness were important to the program's implementation, particularly the assistant principal.	Weather Poor weather can negati participation in the WSB weather is a particular cl this school.
WSB Program Integrated into School Having the WSB program within the school facilitated a team- based approach, as well as communication between parents, the WSB coordinator, and other school staff.	Competing Transporta Methods One of the WSB routes w a school bus. Students al option of walking to scho as part of the WSB progr arriving via private vehic
Safety The real and perceived safety of children was an important facilitator of the WSB. Knowing that their children would be safe with the WSB, facilitated parents' permission for their children to participate in the program.	School Hiring Procedu An unanticipated barrier significant time required school to work through t of hiring the WSB coordi
Parental Trust For the WSB to be successful, parents had to be assured of the credibility of the volunteers. Background checks were completed on volunteers.	Recruiting Volunteers Many parents of WSB pa were not able to volunte program, due to jobs or responsibilities (e.g. child

REFERENCES

The State Public Health Actions to Prevention and Control Diabetes, Heart Disease, Obesity and Associated Risk Factors and Promote School Health – FOA – DP13-1305.



Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention An Office of the

nt of Health and Human Service

Paul R. LePage, Governor Mary C. Mayhew, Commissioner

CONCLUSIONS

Lessons Learned

Lessons learned for other schools and communities starting their own programs to consider, include:

- The WSB is resource-intensive.
- Upfront planning and promotions pay-off.
- Start with one route and add more once you have capacity.
- Offer the WSB in the morning and afternoon.
- Parent buy-in is critical and requires frequent communication.
- Clear communication is vital.
- Flexibility is necessary.

Summary

- Key activities and resources for the WSB program were identified as facilities, people, technical assistance, and good communication.
- WSB participants had statistically significant lower absenteeism and tardiness rates than the school average.
- Parents viewed the WSB positively and would recommend it to other families.
- The WSB volunteers' attitude towards the participating children and their parents helped the families integrate into their new communities.
- The WSB positively impacted youth and their parents.



CONTACT INFORMATION

For more information, please contact: Michelle Mitchell Partnerships For Health 295 Water St., Suite 103, Augusta, ME 04330 Michelle.Mitchell@PartnershipsForHealth.org

- language of WSB d in English ephone
- , per regular
- tively impact B. Winter challenge for

tation

was served by also had the nool, just not gram, or

ures

er was the ed for the the process dinator.

articipants eer with the home ldcare).